

**House Energy & Environment**

**02/27/2025 01:30 PM**

**HB25-1042 Air Quality Control Regulation Workforce Impact**

**Typed Text of Testimony Submitted**

<b>Name, Position, Representing</b>	<b>Typed Text of Testimony</b>
mark kaiser Against themselves	<p>No on HB 1042: Colorado Air Quality and Legislative Implications</p> <p>Based on my experience of living in Colorado for 50 years, I have witnessed the evolving efforts toward improving air quality. When I first moved here, the air quality and the initiatives to achieve cleaner air were in their nascent stages and have since been a constant work in progress. Over the years, the Mile High City has seen significant changes aimed at enhancing air quality, particularly through the regulation of vehicle emissions and the adoption of advanced anti-pollution technologies in the manufacturing sector.</p> <p>Originally, we were assured that as older vehicles with deficient emission controls were phased out and replaced with newer, technologically sophisticated models, we would be able to meet our clean air goals. Moreover, it was believed that advancements in manufacturing practices would contribute substantially to reducing pollution. However, despite the significant strides made in both fossil fuel vehicle emissions and the implementation of anti-pollution equipment by manufacturing entities, there remains a persistent challenge in achieving the desired air quality standards.</p> <p>Each administration over the years has taken a different approach to air quality, often imposing its own policies and regulations. While these efforts have contributed to some progress, they have also led to a patchwork of policies that sometimes overlap or contradict one another. The mantra of "we have to do something" has driven many of these decisions, often without a thorough evaluation of the existing laws and policies that are already in place and their enforcement.</p> <p>HB 1042, the current proposal under consideration, aims to address the ongoing concerns about air quality. However, it appears that this proposal may add yet another layer of bureaucratic policies without necessarily advancing the cause of cleaner air. There is a risk that the additional regulations could complicate the existing framework rather than streamline and enhance it.</p> <p>It is crucial to carefully assess the current laws and policies regarding air quality and their enforcement before introducing new regulations. Efforts should focus on ensuring that existing measures are effectively implemented and that any new policies are designed to complement, rather than complicate, the current system. By doing so, we can work towards achieving our clean air goals in a more efficient and coordinated manner without unnecessary bureaucratic delays.</p> <p>In conclusion, while the intent behind HB 1042 is commendable, it is essential to consider the potential bureaucratic impact and ensure that the proposed measures</p>

	<p>truly contribute to improving air quality in Colorado. This can be achieved by reinforcing and effectively enforcing the existing laws and policies, rather than introducing additional layers of regulation that may hinder progress.</p>
<p>Jeany Rush Against themselves</p>	<p>TO: HOUSE ENERGY &amp; ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE                  RE: HB25-1042 AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGULATION WORKFORCE IMPACT                  SPONSORS: BIRD, DAUGHERTY                  FROM: JEANY RUSH, COLORADO SPRINGS CONSTITUENT 2-27-25                  VOTE: NO</p> <p>My reasons for voting NO on this bill reflect my growing outrage at the enormous and mad machine this body is creating daily to legislate the State of Colorado into a vortex of insanity.</p> <p>The over legislation of our every Breath has reached epic proportions, and has certainly exceeded this body's powers under the Constitution. Further, all of the energy programs, edicts, bills, resolutions, laws are based on a False Premise of Pollution, Green Fake Programs, and Lies of what is truly Science. Example: Most of this legislature has not as yet, learned that CO2 is life, and not a pollutant. Further, most have not as yet, discerned that CO2 does not belong in pipe lines where it poses tremendous danger if it blows up. Hundreds of times more powerful in danger than gas in pipelines.</p> <p>You all are creating yet another task force, ministry of something, in the ever increasing and endless money hole that has become our government.</p> <p>The Department of Health has ignored any data we sent for protecting us from the FAKE JAB, the actual bioweapon pathogen, which you all so willingly put into yourselves and your children.</p> <p>Look at what is in it! Poison to people, and the Mrna tech nightmares.</p> <p>Now you want to do studies, research, monitoring, of Air Quality which is some of the cleanest, and not nearly as bad as other nations. USA has the least amount of "pollutions" by any tests, and worse, when no one will recognize the tiny tiny .04% of 1% of our atmosphere, that CO2 actually takes up, is astounding.</p> <p>Until we as a state, and nation Clean UP the Fake Science of the Green New Deal, aka the Take all of the Money out of your Pocket, we will not save anything, or anyone. Here is just a small portion of your bill and the added infrastructure of unneeded additions to manage in Colorado!</p> <p>(I) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL APPOINT THREE MEMBERS AS                  FOLLOWS TO THE COUNCIL TO SERVE INITIAL TERMS OF TWO YEARS:                  (A) AN INDIVIDUAL FROM A LABOR ORGANIZATION OR 16 PROFESSIONAL                  ASSOCIATION WHO REPRESENTS WORKERS IN A REGULATED 17 INDUSTRY;</p>

	<p>B) AN INDIVIDUAL FROM A LABOR ORGANIZATION OR<sup>19</sup> PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION WHO REPRESENTS WORKERS IN THE<sup>20</sup> CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY; AND</p> <p>(C) A REPRESENTATIVE OF A REGULATED BUSINESS OR ASSOCIATION WHO HAS EXPERTISE IN WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT;</p> <p>(II) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL APPOINT THREE MEMBERS AS FOLLOWS TO THE COUNCIL TO SERVE INITIAL TERMS OF THREE YEARS:</p> <p>A) A REPRESENTATIVE OF A REGULATED INDUSTRY WHO HAS EXPERTISE IN HUMAN RESOURCES IN REGULATED INDUSTRIES;</p> <p>(B) A TRAINING DIRECTOR FOR A REGISTERED APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 8-15.7-101(13), WHO TRAINS WORKERS<sup>2</sup> IN A REGULATED INDUSTRY; AND</p> <p>(C) A RESIDENT OF COLORADO WHO IS AN EMPLOYEE OF A REGULATED BUSINESS;</p> <p>We simply do not need any more of this!</p>
--	---